

422 Re PCT/PTO 20 OCT 2000

AN IN-LINE EARLY REFLECTION ENHANCEMENT
SYSTEM FOR ENHANCING ACOUSTICS

Best Available Copy

5 TECHNICAL FIELD

The invention comprises an in-line early reflection enhancement system and method for enhancing the acoustics of a room or auditorium.

10 BACKGROUND

09673888-01201
The acoustics of a room has a significant impact on an audience's perception of the quality of a live performance. There are a number of properties of rooms that have been identified as being correlated to subjective impressions of quality. The earliest
15 measured parameter was the reverberation time. This is a global property of the room which has a similar value at all locations. It is governed by the room volume and the absorption of the room surfaces, and the quality of reverberation is also governed by the room shape. Rooms with a long reverberation time can provide a sense of envelopment which produces an increased enjoyment of performances
20 such as opera or classical music. However, the same acoustics can reduce the intelligibility of the spoken word, and therefore be unsuitable for speech.

Other parameters have been determined which relate to the properties of the early part of the response, such as the clarity. More recent auditoria have been designed
25 with reflectors specifically placed to enhance the early part of the room response to sounds emanating from the stage.

To achieve maximum enjoyment of a variety of performances, the acoustics of a room must be matched to the intended performance. Many rooms have for this
30 reason been made acoustically adjustable. For example adjustable absorbers such as moveable curtains or rotatable panels have been used to control reverberation time. Extra acoustic spaces have been constructed which can be coupled to the main area when required to provide more reverberance.

Electroacoustic systems have been used for many years to enhance the acoustics of rooms. The simplest system is the public address or sound reinforcement system, in which the sound produced by performers on stage is detected by close microphones and the sound amplified and broadcast from one or more sets of loudspeakers. The goal of such systems is typically to project the direct, unreverberated, sound to the audience to eliminate the effects of the room and improve clarity.

More recently, more complex forms of sound system have been developed which aim to provide adjustable room acoustics. The basic sound reinforcement system has been further developed by introducing sound processing elements such as delays, which allow the creation of additional sound reflections - see W. Anherth, "Complex simulation of acoustic fields by the delta stereophony system (DDS)," *J. Audio Eng. Soc.*, vol. 35, no. 9, pp 643-652, September 1987, and US patent 5,142,586. The delta stereophony system described by Anherth provides sound reflections that are arranged to arrive later than the direct sound, in order to maintain correct localisation. For a given receiver location, the appropriate delays can be chosen to avoid preceding the direct sound, but the delays must be changed for different receiver positions. The ACS system described in US patent 5,142,586 claims to provide reflections at the appropriate times for all receiver positions, by the creation of wavefronts. The delays are chosen using Huygens principle, and their quantification mathematically by integral equations is described by A. J. Berkhout, D. de Vries, and P. Vogel, "Acoustic control by wave field synthesis," *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.*, vol. 93, no. 5, pp 2764-2778, May 1993. The wavefronts are generated using loudspeaker arrays. These electroacoustic systems offer a more controllable early reflection response than can be achieved using passive reflectors.

Reverberators have also been introduced to provide a larger reverberation time for sources on stage - see for example US patent 5,109,419. Larger numbers of speakers have also been employed to provide enhanced reflections and reverberation, such as to under balcony areas. The microphones have also been positioned further from the performers so as to be less obtrusive, while still aiming to detect the direct sound.

The systems discussed above avoid feedback from the loudspeakers to the microphones, since such feedback can lead to colouration and instability if the loop

gain is too high. Because of this fact, they may be generically termed in-line, or non-regenerative, systems. Such systems can provide large increases in reverberation for sound sources that are close to the microphones (ie on stage), but they have a small effect for sound sources at other positions in the room.

5

A second type of enhancement system is the non-in-line, or regenerative, system, which seeks to utilise the feedback between loudspeakers and microphones to achieve a global enhancement of reverberation that occurs for any sound source position - see A. Krokstad, "Electroacoustic means of controlling auditorium acoustics," *Applied Acoustics*, vol. 24, pp 275-288, 1998 and F. Kawakami and Y. Shimizu, "Active field control in auditoria," *Applied Acoustics*, vol. 31, pp 47-75, 1990. Since the natural, unassisted reverberation time is largely the same for all source positions, the regenerative systems can provide a more natural enhanced reverberation. Non-in-line systems typically use a large number of independent microphone, amplifier, loudspeaker channels, each with a low loop gain. Each channel provides a small enhancement of reverberation at all frequencies, with low risk of colouration, and the combined effect of all the channels is a significant increase in reverberation and loudness. The microphones are positioned in the reverberant field from all sound sources in the room to ensure that the system produces a similar enhancement for all sources. Non-in-line systems, however, have typically required from 60 to 120 channels, and have therefore been expensive. Furthermore, since the microphones are remote from all sources, they are less suited to providing significant early reflections than in-line systems.

More recently, a non-in-line system has been developed which uses a multichannel reverberator between the microphones and loudspeakers to provide an increase in reverberation time without requiring an increase in loop gain - see US patent 5,862,233. It has been shown that the system can both reduce the apparent room absorption (by increasing the loop gain) and increase the apparent room volume (by increasing the reverberation time of the reverberator) - see M. A. Poletti, "The performance of a new assisted reverberation system," *Acta Acustica*, 2 December 1994, pp 511-524. In general, a hybrid room enhancement system may be constructed in which some of the microphones of a non-in-line system containing a reverberator are moved close to the source. In this case the system demonstrates properties of both in-line and non-in-line systems - see M. A. Poletti, "The analysis

of a general assisted reverberation system," accepted for publication in *Acta Acustica* vol. 84, pp 766-775, 1998.

When used solely for early reflection enhancement, an in-line system provides a finite number of delayed outputs to simulate early reflections. However, if operated at moderate to high gains, the system runs the risk of instability. This is particularly likely if new delays/reflections are added which will increase the loop gain at some frequencies.

In any sound system, it is important that the direct acoustic sound from the stage arrives at every member of the audience before (or at the same time as) any electroacoustic signal. This is because the perception of localisation is governed by the first signal to arrive at the ears (provided later signals are not overly large). Hence, care must be taken in both in-line and non-in-line systems to ensure that the electroacoustic signals are suitably delayed. In a non-in-line system this can be achieved by keeping the microphones a suitable distance from the stage. Delays can be used in in-line systems and non-in-line systems to avoid preceding the direct sound. Care must therefore be taken in any non-in-line system where microphones are moved close to the stage.

SUMMARY OF INVENTION

In broad terms in one aspect the invention comprises an in-line early reflection generation system comprising:

- one or more microphones positioned close to one or more sound sources so as to detect predominantly direct sound;
- an early reflection generation stage which generates a number of delayed reproductions of the microphone signals and which has unitary power gain whereby the stability of the system is independent of the delay times and amplitudes;
- a number of loudspeakers placed to broadcast the early reflected energy into the room.

The in-line early reflection generation stage may include a number of delay lines which are preceded or followed by cross coupling matrices.

The system and method of the invention do not attempt to optimise the delay time
 5 for individual receiver positions as in delta stereophony, nor create wavefronts as in the ACS system. Instead, early reflections are generated in such a way that the stability of the system is maximised. This is achieved by ensuring that the reflection generation circuit has a unitary property.

10 In the system and method of the invention unitary circuit principles are applied to an in-line reflection generation system. In any early reflection system there is a finite level feedback of sound from the loudspeakers to the microphones via the reverberant field in the room. The generation of multiple reflections via multiple
 15 delays and amplitude weightings in prior art early reflection systems increases the risk of instability by creating variations in the loop gain both below and above the levels that would have existed without the system.

However, if the system has a transfer function matrix which is unitary, then the power gain of the system is one at all frequencies, and the stability of the sound
 20 system is not compromised by the insertion of the early reflection system.

Suppose the matrix of transfer functions through the early reflection system is $X(f)$. The unitary property states that

$$25 \quad X^H X = I \quad 1$$

where the H superscript denotes the conjugate transpose of the matrix. Consider a single frequency f_0 applied to each input of X, with amplitude A_n and phase ϕ_n . The input signal $s_{in}(t)$ may be written

$$30 \quad s_{in}(t) = e^{j2\pi f_0 t} u \quad 2$$

where u is the complex amplitude vector

$$u = [A_1 e^{j\phi_1}, A_2 e^{j\phi_2}, \dots, A_N e^{j\phi_N}]^T$$

3

The total output power is

$$y^H(t)y(t) = u^H X^H(f_0)X(f_0)u = u^H u$$

4

since X is unitary. Hence, the power gain of a unitary system is one at all frequencies, and does not affect stability when inserted into a multichannel system which contains feedback.

10

US patent number 5,729,613 describes a multi-channel reverberator which has this unitary property. This device provides multiple channels of reverberation while maintaining a constant power gain with frequency, and is designed for application in a non-in-line system for reverberation time enhancement, as described in US patent 5,862,233. The device contains multiple channels of internal feedback which creates an infinitely long decaying response, and a rapidly increasing density of echoes which are perceived as reverberation.

In this invention early reflection systems are disclosed which also have a unitary property. They are distinguished from the unitary reverberator in that they do not contain internal feedback, and do not produce an infinite decaying response. Instead they produce a finite response consisting of a relatively low number of discrete echoes. The response is therefore not perceived as reverberation.

It is important to note that in the unitary early reflection system of the invention there is no recursion in the reflection system, ie there is not feedback of the outputs of delay lines to the inputs of delay lines. In contrast to a reverberator the response of the reflection system is therefore finite - the response to an impulse is a short burst of echoes then silence. Also, the density of the echoes will never reach that of a reverberator. Typically system of the invention will have a response time of only 80ms or so, and the echo density never reaches that of a reverberator.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE FIGURES

The invention is further described with reference to the accompanying figures, by way of example and without intending to be limiting, in which:

5

Figure 1 shows the layout of an early reflection system of the invention,

Figure 2 shows a unitary n-channel delay line system as the early reflection generation stage,

10

Figure 3 shows a unitary cross-coupled n-channel delay system including an orthonormal matrix before the delay lines as the early reflection generation stage,

15

Figure 4 shows a unitary dual cross-coupled n-channel delay system using orthonormal matrices both before and after the delay lines as the early reflection generation stage,

20

Figure 5 shows a two stage unitary dual cross-coupled n-channel delay system with cascaded orthonormal matrices and delay lines between each two matrices as the early reflection generation stage, and

25

Figure 6 shows a non-in-line assisted reverberation system for controlling the global reverberation time of a room or auditorium with which the in-line early reflection system of the invention may be combined.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF PREFERRED FORMS

30

Figure 1 shows the layout of an early reflection system of the invention. A number of microphones m_1 to m_N are positioned close to the sources on stage. The microphone signals are fed to a processor which generates a number of scaled and delayed replicas of the N microphone signals, and the processor outputs are fed to amplifiers and loudspeakers L_1 to L_K placed in the room. The transfer function matrix of the processor is denoted $X(f)$.

The microphones are typically directional, that is, they are sensitive to sound sources positioned on axis, and tend to suppress sound sources (and reflections and reverberation) which are positioned off-axis. This maximises the direct sound pickup and reduces the risk of feedback from the loudspeakers. However, a finite level of feedback may still exist, and if the loop gain of the system is too high, the system will become unstable. The transfer function matrix from the loudspeakers to the microphones is $H(f)$, and the loop transfer function matrix is thus $H(f)X(f)$. If the locus of any eigenfunction of $H(f)X(f)$ encircles the point $(1+j0)$, the system will be unstable.

10

The stability of the system can be maintained by keeping the loop gain low, for example by keeping the amplifier or microphone preamplifier gains low. However, for a given setting of amplifier gains, the stability of the system is dependent on the particular delay times and delay levels in the processor. Hence, the system stability cannot be guaranteed once the amplifier gains are set. However, if $X(f)$ has a unitary property, its power gain is unity at all frequencies. The stability is then independent of the delay times and levels.

Unitary early reflection systems of the invention may be constructed using non-cross-coupling delay lines and orthonormal cross coupling matrices. The simplest N channel system comprises N delay lines connecting N microphone signals to N loudspeakers, as shown in figure 2. This system generates a single delay at each output for a signal applied to its respective input. The transfer function matrix is

$$X = D = \begin{bmatrix} \exp(-j\omega T_1) & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \exp(-j\omega T_2) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \exp(-j\omega T_3) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \exp(-j\omega T_N) \end{bmatrix} \quad 5$$

This has a diagonal form since there is no cross coupling. The system is unitary since $D^H D = I$.

Figure 3 shows the use of an orthonormal cross coupling matrix in a more complex system of the invention. An orthonormal matrix M_1 is placed before the delay lines

T_1 - T_N so that a signal applied to any one input is coupled into every delay line, resulting in a single scaled and delayed reproduction of that signal at every output. The transfer function matrix is

5

$$X = DM_1$$

6

This system is unitary since both M_1 and D are unitary, and the product of unitary matrices is unitary.

10

Figure 4 shows the use of orthonormal matrices M_1 and M_2 both before and after the delay lines T_1 and T_N . A single impulse applied to one of the inputs is applied to all N delay line inputs, and appears at times τ_n later at the delay outputs. The N delayed impulses are then cross coupled to every output. Thus, N output delays are generated at each output for a single applied impulse. The circuit thus has the property of diffusing the inputs and providing the maximum number of outputs for any input. The matrix transfer function of the circuit is the product of the transfer function matrices of each section

15

$$X = M_2 DM_1$$

7

20

Figure 5 shows cascading multiple systems of the form in figure 4. This system generates N^2 scaled delayed reproductions of a signal applied to any single input at every output. Hence the delay density increases rapidly with the number of delay stages.

25

The early reflection enhancement system of the invention may also be combined with a non-in-line assisted reverberation system for controlling the global reverberation time so that the reverberation time is similar for all source positions in the room, of the type described in US patent 5,862,233. Such a system comprises multiple microphones positioned to pick up predominantly reverberant sound in a room, multiple loud speakers to broadcast sound into the room, and a reverberation matrix connecting a similar bandwidth signal from each microphone through a reverberator having an impulse response consisting of a number of echoes the density of which increases over time, to a loudspeaker. The reverberation matrix

30

may connect a similar bandwidth signal from each microphone through one or more
 reverberators to two or more separate loudspeakers and each of which receives a
 signal comprising one or more reverberated microphone signal. Figure 6 shows a
 wideband, N microphone, K loudspeaker non-in-line system. Each of microphones,
 5 m_1 , m_2 and m_3 picks up the reverberant sound in the auditorium. Each microphone
 signal is split into a number of K of separate paths, and each 'copy' of the
 microphone signal is transmitted through a reverberator, (the reverberators typically
 have a similar reverberation time but may have a different reverberation time).
 Each microphone signal is connected to each of K loudspeakers through the
 10 reverberators, with the output of one reverberator from each microphone being
 connected to each of the amplifiers A_1 to A_3 and to loudspeakers L_1 to L_3 as shown ie
 one reverberator signal from each microphone is connected to each loudspeaker and
 each loudspeaker has connected to it the signal from each microphone, through a
 reverberator. In total there are N.K connections between the microphone and the
 15 loudspeakers. While in Figure 6 each microphone signal is split into K separate
 paths through K reverberators resulting in N.K connections to K amplifiers and
 loudspeakers, the microphone signals could be split into less than K paths and
 coupled over less than K reverberators, ie each loudspeaker may have connected to
 it the signal from at least two microphones each through a reverberator, but be
 20 cross-linked with less than the total number of microphones. For example, in the
 system of Figure 2 the reverberation matrix may split the signal from each of
 microphones m_1 , m_2 and m_3 to feed two reverberators instead of three, and the
 reverberator output from microphone m_1 may then be connected to speakers L_1 and
 L_3 , from microphone m_2 to speakers L_3 and L_2 , and from microphone m_3 to speakers
 25 L_2 and L_3 . It can be shown that the system performance is governed by the
 minimum of N and K, and so systems of the invention where $N=K$ are preferred. In
 Figure 6 each loudspeaker indicated by L_1 , L_2 and L_3 could in fact consist of a group
 of two or more loudspeakers positioned around an auditorium. In Figure 6 the
 signal from the microphones is split prior to the reverberators but the same system
 30 can be implemented by passing the supply from each microphone through a single
 reverberator per microphone and then splitting the reverberated microphone signal
 to the loudspeakers.

The system simulates placing a secondary room in a feedback loop around the main
 35 auditorium with no two way acoustic coupling. The system allows the

re⁵verberation ~~time~~ in the room to be controlled independently of the steady state density by altering the apparent room volume.

The foregoing describes the invention including preferred forms thereof. Alterations

5 and modifications as will be obvious to those skilled in the art are intended to be incorporated within the scope hereof.

09673808.011201

**This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning
Operations and is not part of the Official Record**

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

- ☐ **BLACK BORDERS**
- ☐ **IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES**
- ☒ **FADED TEXT OR DRAWING**
- ☐ **BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING**
- ☐ **SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES**
- ☐ **COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS**
- ☐ **GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS**
- ☐ **LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT**
- ☐ **REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY**
- ☐ **OTHER:** _____

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.